

A stylized topographic map with green contour lines and a dashed line, serving as a background for the header.

City of Parksville Transportation Master Plan

What We Heard Report #1

Engagement Summary


The first round of engagement involved a five-hour public open house on November 8, 2023, attended by about 100 residents. The open house included presentations of the base conditions assessment work as well as extensive questions and answers and discussion time to hear thoughts and insights from the community. The information presented at this open house is available [here](#).

Following the open house, several meetings were held with interested community groups and agency representatives to receive specific feedback and input, which will be incorporated into the future phases of the project.

Aside from general questions about the process, some of the key areas of interest that were repeatedly raised throughout the community engagement and are detailed here:

- The Island Highway acts as a barrier to movement between the downtown and the waterfront, especially for families and those with mobility challenges.
- There are concerns about the new provincial legislation regarding an increase in housing and how this may translate to Parksville.
- Scheduled stop times should be kept for transit service at key locations to make it more reliable.
- Opportunities to take traffic off Highway 19A should be explored as there still needs to be capacity to move across the City; the Jensen Avenue extension has been looked at in the past but never implemented.
- Safety, especially for people with accessibility issues, within the community is paramount and speeding (particularly along the Island Highway) was flagged multiple times as an issue.
- Better bike infrastructure would mean fewer bikes on sidewalks, which is a safety concern for pedestrians.
- The existing sidewalk design needs to be improved. Examples include curb cuts that often drop down to the corner of the intersection, rather than being aligned with the crosswalk, and obstacles within the sidewalk that make movement difficult.
- The sidewalk surface can often be an uncomfortable experience for those in mobility scooters, which leads to them using the roadway (where no bike lane is present).
- The connectivity between Resort Drive and Rathtrevor Beach Provincial Park and the downtown is seen as being unsafe, particularly for families.
- Moving through the City should be a pleasant experience.

In addition to the in-person engagement, a public survey was made available on the City's website and Let's Talk Parksville to collect responses from residents. With over 300 completed surveys, the feedback was extremely helpful in better understanding how mobility in Parksville is perceived. Some key highlights from the survey responses are detailed here:

- 
- A decorative background featuring a topographic map with green contour lines and some green foliage on the right side.
- Of the 52% of respondents who felt the Island Highway signals should be re-coordinated, 57% suggested pedestrian crossing movements should be prioritized.
 - Walking is the preferred mode of active transportation, with 70% of respondents stating it as their most frequent mode. Almost 60% of respondents indicated active transportation was primarily for recreation.
 - Close to 95% of respondents indicated they ride transit infrequently or never.
 - On parking, around 18% of respondents indicated they are unable to find parking within a reasonable distance of their destination daily. 56% said this happens infrequently.
 - Pedestrian and cyclist safety dominated concerns, with 87% of respondents stating these as being their primary concern (over vehicular).
 - 78% of respondents stated they would, at least partly, be willing to fund upgrades and improvements through increased taxes.


The overwhelming majority of respondents expressed a desire for Parksville to become a walkable, vibrant, well-connected, and accessible community with a small-town feel. Specifically, respondents identified the following aspirations and ideas for the future (twenty years) of Parksville:

- World-class bike and pedestrian friendly community with dependable transit, and less cars.
- A City which provides for all types of transportation and has adequate parking available to the downtown to support businesses.
- Community where people WANT to walk and cycle because it is the BEST WAY to go to the downtown area.
- Waterfront trail system from French Creek to Rath Trevor Beach.
- Create a 'ring road' from McMillan, through Jensen and connecting to McVickers.
- Dedicated bike lanes, frequent reliable transit, pedestrian friendly downtown core.
- Developments planned as complete neighbourhoods to reduce reliance on vehicles for mobility.
- "I would like to be able to safely go downtown with my family using active transportation and feel safe and respected."
- More parking spaces.
- More wheelchair access to the beach so people can actually go out on the beach.
- No highways intersecting the City.
- Slow down the traffic.

Based on the community feedback, the following **Core Themes** define the priorities for the TMP moving forward:

ACTIVE - Residents want a walkable downtown that is well-connected to key destinations around the City through a complete network of bike and pedestrian infrastructure. Specifically, connectivity to Rath Trevor Beach and the Parksville Community Park are very important.

BALANCED - While the City should be bike- and pedestrian-friendly, there is a need to provide access for drivers, deliveries, and emergency vehicles to the downtown core where parking should be conveniently located to support the business community. Changes to the Island Highway need to be balanced by travel opportunities on other corridors.

A decorative background featuring a topographic map with green contour lines and some green foliage on the right side.

SAFE - The City should be a safe and pleasant environment to move through, with appropriate speed limits consistently enforced, improved lighting, protected and/or dedicated bike facilities, a comprehensive tree canopy, and enhanced crossing opportunities on busy corridors.

ACCESSIBLE – Parksville’s median age (63.6) is notably higher than the BC average (43.1) and as such there are many residents with mobility challenges who utilize mobility scooters and other devices to get around. Design improvements need to be cognizant of this and provide infrastructure which is universally accessible.

These themes will form the foundation of the opportunities that are developed through the subsequent phases of work as we seek to develop a TMP that is reflective of the needs of the community.

Online Survey Responses – Qualitative Response Analysis

This section summarizes the recurring themes that emerged from the open-ended questions contained within the survey. The responses were wide-ranging and contained many excellent ideas and suggestions; these have been collated and organized by category to provide a clear overview of the priorities of the community.

Traffic and Signals

Key Theme: Prioritization of Pedestrians

Q: For signalized intersections along the Island Highway, could the balance between through traffic times and pedestrian crossing times be improved?

*When asked how the balance between through traffic times and pedestrian crossing times could be improved, the key theme of responses was **a preference for pedestrian prioritization**, with proposed systems that accounted for different needs across the day such as pedestrian-oriented signal timing during the day, with signal timing prioritizing free-flow traffic in the evenings.*

Q: Are there specific intersections where these issues are more prominent?

Respondents noted that the intersections most in need of signalization updates were Highway 19A & Corfield, Highway 19A & McCarter, Highway 19A & Alberni Highway, Highway 19A & McMillan, and Alberni Highway & Despard.

Q: How could the Island Highway be improved through the downtown, or any other section, to better suit the future needs of the City? Please explain the nature and location of your suggestion (an example might be longer left-hand turn lane turning left from Island Highway northbound onto McVickers).

*Suggestions on how the Island Highway could be improved to better suit the needs of the City, survey respondents showed a strong preference for efforts to **improve walkability using traffic calming measures** and cycling and pedestrian-friendly interventions including slower road speeds and improved bike lanes. Many participants suggested the implementation of vehicle-free zones in the Downtown core and Community Park area. Notes on how to enhance the signalization at certain intersections were also provided.*

A topographic map with green contour lines and a dashed line, serving as a background for the document.

Active Transportation

Key Theme: Desire for improved cycling and pedestrian infrastructure and programming

Q: How do you most frequently use active transportation?

Respondents noted that they most frequently used active transportation for errands and shopping, with many noting that they live close enough to downtown to walk comfortably. Many noted that they would prefer to cycle or use transit more frequently if the scheduling and infrastructure made these options more convenient.

Q: Are there specific gaps in the active transportation network you would like to see filled? (an example might be between Harnish and Despard)

Notable gaps in the active transportation network identified by survey respondents included sidewalk gaps along Alberni Highway between Jensen and Despard, along Highway 19A near Downtown and the Community Park, and along Stanford and Morison. Comments on gaps in the cycling network focused on poor signage and road markings, with pleas for designated bike lanes to keep cyclists safe. Comments on future trail networks focused on connecting a trail network from the Community Park to Rath Trevor.

Q: Are there any other active transportation issues that you would like to see addressed?

When asked if there were any other active transportation issues they would like to see addressed, survey respondents noted a clear preference for interventions to make cycling safer and more desirable, as well as improving the pedestrian experience through measures such as improved sidewalks and slowing traffic speeds.

Transit

Key Theme: Importance of Bus Shelters

Q: The City is not responsible for transit scheduling and service; however, we can control stop infrastructure and access to stops. Are there locations where you would like to see the City improve transit access?

Survey respondents noted a desire for more bus stops to have bus shelters and stops that were fully accessible. Key areas highlighted for improvement were Downtown and French Creek.

Q: Are there any other transit issues that you would like to see addressed?

When asked if there were any other transit issues they would like to see addressed, participants focused on extending service routes to include service to Courtenay and/or Nanaimo, specific routes to access the pool, and increasing the frequency of service on existing routes.

A topographic map with green contour lines and some green shaded areas representing vegetation. A dashed line runs diagonally across the map.

Parking

Theme: Downtown parking should accommodate both staff and tourist parking needs

Q: Are parking regulations clear and appropriate within the downtown core? If no, please explain.

When asked if parking regulations are currently clear and appropriate within the downtown core, some respondents noted that spaces were inadequate when staff parking spaces were taken into account, and that enforcement of parking restrictions is an issue.

Q: Are there any other parking issues that you would like to see addressed?

When asked what other parking issues should be addressed, participants highlighted a need for increased parking availability in the downtown core, particularly during the summer months, with some participants requesting the construction of a parkade. An increase in accessible parking was also requested from many participants.

Safety

Theme: Poor Adherence to Traffic Laws

Q: Do you have any concerns about the safety of transportation infrastructure in Parksville, for the below users? Please select all that apply.


When asked what safety concerns were prominent in Parksville's transportation infrastructure, survey participants highlighted vehicle speed and hazardous driving as safety issues, particularly for pedestrians and cyclists. Poor or unconnected cycling infrastructure was also noted as a key concern. General responses noted the importance of the community feeling safe for pedestrians, with a focus on walkability.

Q: Are there other areas of regulatory (right of way controls) signage that you find to be confusing, inconsistent, inefficient, or otherwise not supporting the desired motorist or pedestrian behaviour?

General comments on regulatory signage included requests for better intersection controls accessible to cyclists, as well as signage lettering to have better contrast. Traffic regulations and operations should be consistent to avoid confusion.

Funding

Theme: Willing to support Active Transportation projects



Q: The current model of upgrades being triggered by development can often result in a patchwork network of improvements that are poorly connected. On a scale of 1 to 5, how willing are you to fund upgrades through increased taxation.

When participants were asked about their willingness to fund upgrades through increased taxation, a significant proportion of respondents noted that they would be willing to fund projects that support walkability and livability, with a focus on active transportation infrastructure.

Q: Are there specific enhancement projects that you would be willing to fund via direct charges or taxes?

Projects that participants noted they were willing to fund consisted mainly of sidewalk and cycling infrastructure.

Vision

Theme: Walkability

Q: Flashing forward twenty years, what do you hope to see for Parksville's future mobility and transportation?

When asked "flashing forward twenty years, what do you hope to see for Parksville's future mobility and transportation?" survey respondents overwhelmingly noted a hope for a more pedestrian-friendly Parksville, with less reliance on automobiles and more support for cycling and transit.

Public Engagement #1 – Presentation Notes (November 8, 2023)

This section provides detailed notes taken throughout the public engagement, constituting four separate presentation and Q&A sessions that provided opportunities for all attendees to hear about the project and voice their questions, comments, suggestions and concerns.

Presentation 1: 2:15pm (~30 attendees)

Question: Is this presentation going to be on the website?


Response: Yes

Question: Are there any conflicting jurisdictions with roadways? For example, The Regional District, MoTI roads, or City roads

Response: Everything in the TMP will have to be City infrastructure (which ends at the boundary on Highway 19 at the railroad tracks. Some places like Corfield Street where some properties on either side of the road are within different jurisdictions, but the roadway itself is City jurisdiction.

Question: What is the timeframe for this project?

Response: The TMP should be wrapped up sometime in middle of the year (2024). The next engagement session will take place in the new year. Specific projects will occur

A decorative background featuring a topographic map with green contour lines and some green shaded areas representing vegetation or parks.

somewhere within a 1-7 year timeframe depending on how easily implementable they are, or if significant design phases and preceding works are required. The core of this project is about providing the framework to set out projects to complete when funding becomes available for those projects. The strategic nature of creating a full plan means it will take long time to build out the projects. This plan should be complete by the summer of 2024, and is to be used as a tool for the City to refer back to as funding and/or development contributions are made available. There may also be policy changes that can impact these timelines.

Question: **What are the impacts of Bill 4 – will the increased housing due to this change be addressed? Our infrastructure is not set up for that.**

Response: Yes, very recent changes from the Province may incite a shift of increasing density. We take our direction for what future demand will be from the OCP. If that changes due to new legislation, this new projected trip generation will be designed to that new data.

Question: **Can we take into account where hundreds of new units will be going in, such as in my neighbourhood?**

Response: This question seems to be about how to manage transportation in areas that become denser. This is a plan for the whole city, looking at overall demand in the community, and won't be able to get into the individual impacts to specific streets at that level of detail. However, traffic zones will be looked at with change over time, and notes on where certain changes to infrastructure will be required. This question is focused on a higher level of detail than what this plan will respond to, but the TMP *can* respond to the larger scale. It can do things to make sure that despite the number of people, it may not necessarily generate more traffic trips.

Question: **They are putting up huge complexes like Berwick, without supporting infrastructure**

Response: *By another participant:* This is something to take to your MLA and/or is managed by your vote rather than this process

By McElhanney: Overall, this community is quite low in density. However, there is a shift in concentrated density, and there is a need to support transportation for the people feeling the impact of these changes. Parks and Trails Master Plans and Servicing Master Plans etc. respond to some of these things, though this project can only look at what is in the TMP scope.

Question: **You talk about a 20-year vision ahead – who gives us this vision?**

Response: Essentially, the people do! We have experiences with these projects, and usually similar issues come up (safety, accessibility, etc.) but the specifics themselves are unique to community issues, so we can't have a cookie cutter approach. We need to understand what this means to YOU in Parksville. We want to collate this information from the community, and craft a statement that reflects these views.

Question: **How are bus scheduling and frequency determined? Is this a question for the RD?**

Response: Generally, this can depend on how many buses there are, and how efficiently the buses are being used. However, the City doesn't have control over bus schedules or routes.

Question: **There should be designated bus stops at key locations, such as the mall, etc. We need actually scheduled stops, where drivers don't drive by if they're early, etc.**

Response: We can pass this information on to BC Transit/the Regional District

Question: For safety issues (such as bus routes on Wembley), why are they allowing more condos in the area when there isn't the infrastructure there to keep people safe?

Response: I can't speak to past planning approvals. Development is a great way to leverage funding for infrastructure improvements – but if we can flag these issues then we want to put them into the TMP to address this. This process feeds into the OCP, so if new standards are adopted, we can make those changes in the future, but we can't do this retroactively (if something is already in the queue, we can't go back and change the standards those projects are reviewed against). For example, the mall is bounded by the standards that were already in place at that time, and we can't enforce a standard until it is adopted.

Question: If zoning changes, does this change things?

Response: Utility modeling upgrades need to be done to see "what looks like what" if zoning changes. There may be a necessity to revisit these documents, but at this stage we are looking to hear how the transportation network is working, what are some of the concerns, and how we can develop solutions for working with the implemented documents (such as the OCP). This will be an iterative process - other things will need to be recalculated as a part of a feedback loop of changes.

Question: With the new government legislation changes to decrease the cost of housing, how will the costs to developers be retained?

Response: I don't know to what degree this cost to developers will change, but if higher levels of government say we have to do something we can't go against this change. This is kind of what voting is for.

Question: Did McElhanney compose the previous TMP? Will there be a review of this document as a part of this process?

Response: We did not compose the last TMP, though it was reviewed as a part of the process for this new plan. Without knowing the context of how/why things were or were not delivered it's hard to use it as a benchmark. We want to set up a monitoring framework to see if we've reached the goals laid out by the new plan and if not, why? This will be built into implementation and phasing of the plan.

Question: Can you clarify the connection to the Regional District and with BC Transit regarding the bus system, specifically District 69? Traffic is changing, and a more efficient bus system would alleviate traffic on the road. For those new to using the bus to get around, it does not work well (for example, I can't take seniors classes in Qualicum via transit, and I am very restricted in my neighbourhood), How much pressure do you have on these partners?

Response: Transit is very collaborative, and they tend to do a lot of engagement to try to use buses as efficiently as possible. They do have a plan for how to redevelop their own plans. Nanaimo is looking at more rapid options for intercity travel, with ideas to provide better connectivity. As for plans to have better connectivity within Parksville, they tend to be precise about how that happens. It is reasonable to want to maintain travel within the city, but if you have twice as many buses, that doesn't always lead to twice as many people using transit. It often means twice as many empty buses.

Question: Changes to traffic and climate change mean the previous question should be a priority (improving transit service to encourage usage). If you can't get anywhere by bus, it isn't helpful.

Response: Decision-making and governance of routes and schedules is within the Regional District and BC Transit's jurisdiction. They want to be collaborative to see what people want to see, so it is worth it to put these comments into the survey results.

Question: My question is regarding the Integration of the TMP and financing – development cost charges impact transportation and other infrastructure (and may have associated funding from the government etc.) but the plan will require cost – will the plan cover what the cost is and the impact on taxes? I am supportive, but some people are very protective of their property taxes.

Response: The end of the TMP document will have projects with dollar values attached. How these dollars will work their way into the system is a part of the TMP.

Presentation 2: 3:00pm (~31 attendees)

Question: We've heard for years about putting a route around the community to take traffic off Highway 19A, is this still a part of the discussion?

Response: We are not at the "exploring ideas" stage yet, we will be looking at options in the next round of the conversation to determine which options are/aren't practical etc.

Question: You have stated that safety will be a main focus for the project, will you be looking at speeding within the community?

Response: Yes! The City has robust traffic counting/speed counting etc. to see what average speeds down corridors are, there is a bit of a bell curve (a bit more than desired speed). We want to hear where you've observed these issues. Often we see huge lanes and sidelines and then assign 30km – but when the road is designed to be faster, you drive faster. A lot of TMP's have recommended things like '20 is plenty' with 30km neighbourhood community speed etc.


Question: Safety – is there an opportunity to actually show you safety issues in person?

Response: Generally, no. I am down here quite frequently, and if we get comments about observed safety issues in certain areas, I have the benefit of coming and looking myself to see why these issues might be happening. I will go check these issues out.

Question: I had no idea of the experience of certain people's barriers (e.g., sight challenged) without experiencing them myself. How can we address this?

Response: These questions have been raised by community members. Previous projects I've done have included things like 'design week' where we tried to navigate using mobility devices, blindfolds, etc. to try to better understand the impacts on transportation and mobility. These are all important topics and are woven into the process as we move through. Also, in looking at how kids and other perspectives navigate the city are important.

Question: Will this study look at trucks traffic in certain areas that don't need to be there?

A topographic map with green contour lines and some green shaded areas representing vegetation or parks. The map is oriented with North at the top.

Response: There is no designated truck route in the City right now. This is not uncommon, and there is always some occasion where heavy trucks need to move through, but freight and goods movement and emergency vehicle access are a part of the considerations. Things like business-related things (delivery trucks going to the mall) and how those businesses are operated can't be dictated in this plan, but we can identify that these are areas where these activities happen, and there might be better ways for this to be handled.

Question: **Regarding public transportation and buses – The connection to Parksville and Qualicum bus stops are 1km apart, but there are a lot of people living in those areas, can this be improved?**

Response: The Regional District and BC Transit are responsible for location of stops, routes, and frequency. The infrastructure itself is handled by the City (type of bus stop/shelter etc.) but if this is flagged in the survey, we are in conversation with Regional District as a part of this process.

Question: **Regarding left turn lanes in the City – are there cameras with facial recognition systems?**

Response: I don't know off hand. In the UK there are major problems with this software and how it is used, I'm not aware of how this plays out here. Typically, cameras are just looking for movement (data processing), and not actually recorded, as far as I know.


Question: **You mentioned how this plan will fit in with OCP – I've noticed in my neighbourhood and others that developers buy land and rezone it for higher density. How does this plan feed into the OCP in regard to increased traffic? Was it considered in the OCP that this would become a safety issue? We can't predict development at the end of this plan.**

Response: The TMP doesn't feed into the OCP as much as it works towards the goals of the OCP. The TMP assumes the OCP objectives are met, so what do we need to service the trips developed due to this? We may have to go back into the land use plan based on what we find out as a part of the TMP process (a feedback loop between the two plans). The best TMP is a good land use plan. In terms of new legislation, can provide feedback in terms of *"based on the OCP, we predict that this is what traffic (etc.) will be like"*, then this can inform the next OCP.

Question: **Regarding increasing cyclist safety – is this the only topic we want feedback on? Portugal has amazing bike infrastructure, mostly offroad. Downtown Highway 19a, pedestrians and cyclists on the sidewalk create safety issues. Cyclists won't move for a pedestrian, and this causes safety problems.**

Response: This is something we will be exploring how can we do this better? Different problems lead themselves to different types of design. People will always misbehave – can't do much about this, but we can provide good infrastructure to promote good behaviour. Physical constraints are sometimes in place (we can't have trees, bike lanes, paths, etc. all in one cross-section everywhere) so how should we best focus our efforts?

Question: **Has there been monitoring of other vehicles on off-street bike trails (such as mobility scooters) to see if this is changing?**



Response: It's mixed, some locations have good data with traffic counts of all types of traffic (by all modes). For more remote/off-street places it is harder to do. There are often many access points, so where your counter is located makes an impact on the data. In the Capital Regional District (South Island), their system is remarkable, so it might be worth looking into how they manage this.

Question: **We use bike lanes quite often, especially in summer. Mobility scooters and e-bikes are used a lot, but not well represented in the slides - is this because of the lack of data?**

Response: We can speak to this from experience, but not necessarily data as we don't have the same data for these modes as we do for traffic counts.

Question: **In slowing traffic down on the highway, there has to be an alternative (such as a ring road) to be able to bring traffic around. Was Jensen originally slated to serve this purpose?**

Response: From a process perspective, we are not specifically saying anything about what we want to do yet. This is a data input learning experience. Once we get to the place where we are determining options, it will be about determining impacts and tradeoffs. Part of the process is identifying the feasibility of projects. For example, the beach side of Highway 19 is dangerous for foot traffic with the number of e-bikes on sidewalks. The whole downtown core may have sidewalk and bike lane issues (and of course parking). It can be tough when there are conflicting priorities – bike lanes? Bike parking? Parking? Driving? It's good to know where priorities are sitting – please supply this on the survey.

Question: **A Question about process – I don't have a sense of how this process is really going to work (you say the TMP is now well underway) How meaningful is the input from consultation going to be? It is murky how this is all going to work between different entities. How meaningful is this process and will it be incorporated? Is there already a TMP agenda in place?**

Response: The work done to date is only a state of play and what things look like now. This conversation today is the first conversation about the future, what we'd like to do or see, or what priorities or right answers are. The Data Collection part of the process is well underway (base mapping, policy review, existing conditions reports). We can't go into this meeting not knowing anything, so we need to do our due diligence to bring to this meeting. We won't be able to capture everything. The TMP is a high-level strategic master plan document, but we will work on what we can within the scope and also note other issues brought up that we can't deal with in the TMP. This helps us to come up with the 'fun stuff' of solving issues and determining what ideas look like when we actually talk about what implementing them might look like, and what the trade-offs are.

Question: **Is this TMP working within a larger framework such as the UN sustainability goals framework?**

Response: I wouldn't say so. Community engagement will inform the decisions as opposed to using a formulaic process. The goal is not to have a generic plan that sits on the shelf, but to be based on the community's needs.

Question: **I appreciate all of the comments on the purpose of the TMP to enable implementation of the OCP, however, the timing of this is inappropriate. The current OCP is very**

outdated, and we don't have a new one on the books. To develop a TMP to support the implementation of an outdated OCP is a waste of resources. Can you comment back to the City that the OCP needs to be updated in order for a TMP to have relevance?

Response: (City reply) There isn't one perfect right way, some things will need to be revisited and zoning and OCP changes will have to be rejiggered, we hear questions the other way around too (why are we dealing with larger processes when some projects could be worked on now?) There haven't been drastic changes in community expectations since the previous OCP. Densities haven't been changing drastically, these comments may come down to local level. There are important reasons to get some of this done earlier, rather than later, the information gained is still valuable.
(Matt) some things will be true no matter what the OCP says, not necessarily driven by a trigger of x number of people. Some things will take a long time before they are realized, during that time you have an OCP and then that can be wheeled in. An outdated OCP doesn't stop us from moving forward.

Presentation 3: 4:15pm (~13 attendees)

Question: You used a great analogy of creating a master plan that is accomplished at the time the next one is developed – where did the last TMP get to?

Response: The context has changed since the previous TMP (since 2016). We are always going to start from now – there is no obligation to finish what they started as but we are starting from our baseline here today. The previous plan won't necessarily inform what we do moving forward, we want to see what the community is looking for now.

Question: Are you working with City plans for development? High-density buildings have gone in over the last few years, with impacts to traffic and parking. What modes of transport are people going to be using? Are we expecting large groups to be using bike lanes? There is a different vibe for Parksville as a beach community versus a City moving towards development

Response: This is why we do what we do! We are looking to:

1. Understand where we are now and how people move around. We take input for people's priorities, and what they'd like to see. We take the traffic now and increase it by the amount the OCP says we can expect. We can look to see how the current system performs to that buildout. That sets the context for future changes. That's why a lot of infrastructure changes are based on development – once you hit a threshold is when you need changes to infrastructure. Development is outside control of what TMP can do, that is more relevant to the Zoning Bylaw and OCP. We have to take cues from land use planning.
2. Determine steps forward for the community. You are describing a philosophical shift in the community. This beachfront community previously had the only highway up island through the community, but now that we have the new highway, some people still take the scenic route. This creates two different purposes for the highway running through the community. That's part of the feedback we are looking for (though there are different opinions).

Question: What is the timeline for public input?

Response: December 3rd is the cutoff for the survey portion of the engagement. In the new year we will be moving toward looking at options for improvements.

Question: Is the survey available online?

Response: Yes! On the Let's Talk Parksville website. There is also a QR code on the sheets by the door to bring you to the survey.

Question: Some roadways are affected by the Regional District of Nanaimo, such as, Wembley and Church. There are two current development proposals to increase nearly 200 units – how do the Regional District plan and Parksville plan fit together?

Response: We don't want to have plans where routes stop at the City boundary. Data pulled from background growth show an increase in traffic at a regional level. How these impact specific roads is more detailed than what this type of plan will deal with. But generally, what infrastructure developers should pay for, where sidewalks should be etc. can be dealt with (catch-all recommendations laid out in policy) but it wouldn't necessarily be that any RDN property would need to be the same as Parksville property. TMPS do say things about public properties and rights of way. In many places it's not widely known that roads are private or that there is no responsibility to maintain those private roads to City standards etc. TMPs' have some ways to approach these regional issues, though they should generally be dealt with at the development level.

Question: Is the Regional District of Nanaimo in a similar planning process?

Response: They are currently undertaking a transportation development strategy. We are not beholden to their planning cycle. Their plans leapfrog through time and aren't necessarily coordinated with City plans. There are always things that change in the timeline of planning documents that we can't foresee (such as Covid), but we do our best to work in alignment where possible.

Question: Has the City given us their plans for the next 10 years? How many developments will there be?


Response: Developments currently in process will be considered as a part of the plan. We don't have the answer for how many developments are planned that are not yet in the queue. Land use maps will be used to look at future maximum buildouts within the current plan, such as planning for road traffic that will come from future densities. We can recalculate these projections if these things change.

Question: Will changes from the new legislation (announced November 1st) be considered?

Response: Yes, though we are not quite sure exactly what that will look like yet. We are currently on an "as-it-happens" basis as opposed to one point in time. At the end state of this process, we will look at high-estimate and low-estimate versions of that potential buildout (as things might not get built, there could be a slump in development, etc.) We understand that things can change along the way.

Question: Will the new plan be less car-centric?

Response: Probably. The transportation industry has moved since the creation of the last TMP. Generally, the industry is now more accommodating to active transportation and transit options. This is in response to increasing needs for sustainability and reducing traffic

A decorative topographic map with green contour lines and some green patches representing vegetation, located in the upper right corner of the page.

congestion. As consultants – we are necessarily agnostic, analyzing problems, community values, options, and tradeoffs with these options. I have lived in large cities, but these cookie-cutter solutions don't apply everywhere. In some contexts, driving is the best option (it is not realistic to bike everywhere in a rural setting). I am a big believer in context-sensitive plans and being realistic. The City busier than it was, but not busy in the same way that Victoria, Vancouver, or Toronto are. What is the appropriate way to handle changes in traffic through time will be determined at the options phase of this process.

Question: I was told that the City wants to be less car-centric. But we are a bedroom community for Nanaimo. Some City workers even live in Nanaimo. The automobile is under attack.

Response: That's not all we are. It is a transportation network, and so we should have options for local streets for kids to ride bikes comfortably. If you are commuting to Nanoose Bay, that should be comfortable too. Less car-centric – previous plans were all about moving cars only, and we have to consider all kinds of movement and trying to find balance. It doesn't mean cars are bad or that walking is best – there has to be a way to find balance. We should still be able to get to Parksville if we can't afford a car. This is all about providing choice.

Question: Regarding seniors and scooters – I live close to this building (The Forum). The street is narrow, and seniors take this route by choice rather than Hirst even though it would be safer. Is there special consideration for the safety of seniors?

Response: Absolutely yes! Every session has had this concern. It is all about finding the right balance, locations, and infrastructure to get people where they need to go safely.

Question: Regarding Highway 19 going through Parksville – you seem to say that there are 2 ways to consider it (either part of Parksville's mobility plan, or, as a provincial highway that we can't do anything about)

Response: Highway 19a is a City road now, since the new highway went in. So yes, this is a part of the scope and the City has agency in how it is dealt with.

Question: Has any conversation happened about a third crossing of the Englishman River? Especially for emergency services etc.

Response: We haven't considered anything yet, but this is a good comment to consider as we start to develop options. This is an important connection.

Question: What is the purpose of moving the curbs halfway into the roads, specifically regarding Bagshaw Street? These calming measures often make it more dangerous for bikes (I've seen this on Hirst Ave, too) Are these bump-outs a thing the City is big on now? They seem to be creating a dangerous environment.

Response: Curb bump-outs are done for several reasons (such as increasing parking behind the curb, decreasing pedestrian crossing distances, etc.) This will be good for us to look at, there is a suite of traffic calming features that can be implemented on any given street. Not all work in all contexts and instances. It may be that this has seen unintended consequences that are worse than the original issue. We can take a look at these things within this process.

A topographic map with green contour lines and some green patches representing vegetation, serving as a background for the text.

Question: Hirst Ave is designated as a bike route, but there is not enough room for cars and bikes – has this just been executed poorly?

Response: Safety for cyclists is different in areas where cyclists are expected, as behaviours are different. This is something that should be considered.

Comment: We have to design conditions to be easily navigable by all, even the bottom 5% to ensure cyclist safety.

Response: This goes to a shared responsibility of safety on the road – there is a hierarchy of risk that must be taken into account.

Comment: In the summertime, there are twice as many cars and visitors, they don't have a good understanding of the network.

Response: Parksville is not unique in seasonal variation of traffic demands. There is a question about how much to design the City to accommodate this, versus the rest of the time when it's just locals. If we are designing only for tourists would over sign and provide major parking increases, but this would not be appropriate for the off-season. It will be all about providing a balance between competing needs.

Presentation 4: 5:30pm (~8 attendees)

Question: Does Parksville do any signal coordination right now?

Response: There is synchronization but not full coordination (for example, you may have to wait between 0-60 seconds for a walk sign crossing). The next phase of work will include exploring options for true coordination.

Question: How does this plan relate to the previous one? The previous plan ended inconclusively and wasn't really implemented. Are we revisiting those conclusions?

Response: The background review for this project included this document and other related documents (Trails Plans, etc.) but this is to move from today's Parksville, starting fresh from now, so we have not really included previous conclusions.

Question: Can you talk more about the "Green Wave" and how this works in the City now?

Response: Currently there is signal synchronization, whereby enlarge cross buttons are within a large planning sequence. It is a bit of a crude system right now, there is no synced signalization.

Question: Regarding peak traffic moving through Parksville, how much of highway traffic is commuter traffic through the community? Should we use license plate readers to determine this at the entrance and exit to the community and determine what percentage is driving straight through? If we have a lot of through-commuter traffic; how can we discourage this?

Response: Great question, this is tricky to determine. It is difficult, but possible.

Question: Is the data collected so far (traffic counts, etc.) available to the public?

Response: Not currently, the City will need to discuss this, but is generally not opposed to sharing data.

Question: Was data collection done in the summer purposely? This represents the most congested time of year. What did you find? The previous plan's report showed primary deficits were for active modes and didn't show any major concerns. I'm hoping that this new plan shows that data collection confirms there isn't a significant traffic congestion issue.

Response: For people who have been here a long time, traffic is worse than it was. This doesn't mean it's bad, just worse than it was. If you have lived in a big city, you've seen what traffic congestion can look like and how it can vary by an hour. When we talk about how good the traffic is this, is relevant to people's experience (for example, I used to be able to park wherever and whenever I wanted.) This is why we need to have a conversation as well as data to capture these experiences, to see if, where, and when people are experiencing issues.

Question: I have noticed that occasionally someone can't get into a left turn lane. I can see a potentially dangerous situation. Does data collection pick up on this?

Response: This is called storage length. Often where pain is felt an adjustment is needed (maybe just a few metres extension, etc.) There are many ways to address this.

Comment: Regarding the Highway – as we develop the downtown core and move towards a 15-minute walkable City, there are concerns with walking with kids across the highway from the downtown core across to the park and the beach. We want to see the movement of traffic off the highway to increase safety for pedestrians trying to access the beach.

Response: Perfect comment: priority number one – we can try to achieve this! It is good to have a clear plan which says: "We want to achieve x goal".

Question: What happens after the next engagement?


Response: The next step includes close collaboration with the City. We take all this insight and determine priorities and aspirations and provide some ways we can go about doing this. Some of these won't be practical, and some may have legs and we will explore these. We then explore the trade-offs to get an appreciation for the full picture, so that in the next engagement we can say "here's what you said, here's what that means, and are you okay with that plan and the associated trade-offs?"

Question: What is the survey timeline? What kind of numbers are good? Should I share the survey with my networks?

Response: The survey closes December 3rd. Yes, please share with your networks! The more the better! The postal code question on the survey will help show the opinions of community members and people not living in the community, so we can better interrogate that data. The more data the better to understand the concerns and possible improvements and benefits.

Question: Some voices can't be heard, particularly for people with multiple barriers. We need to consider people with accessibility issues, even if they aren't able to access the survey.

Response: Some people do provide direct messages to the City. Organizations will provide feedback directly. Members of those organizations could also fill out the survey individually, that is one way to get the information. We are trying to have conversations with various

A background image of a topographic map with green contour lines and some green patches representing vegetation or parks.

groups and organizations. All of this material will be on Let's Talk Parksville. We do have paper copies of the survey, though it is easier to process the results online.

Question: It is important for people outside of Parksville to have a voice, too. Is this process coordinating with the Regional District of Nanaimo, and Qualicum Beach?

Response: We do take account of these things. In a perfect world, all plans from outside the City would line up at the same time. There is no use in putting in a bike path that ends nowhere at the City boundary. We want to make sure we are in contact with RDN and through this process will end up learning more, but this process is based on the TMP for the City of Parksville.

Question: Are design guidelines going to be recommended?

Response: A bit later in the process (cross sections of streets, what collectors/arterials can look like etc.) will be done as a part of this.

Question: Recent updates to newer research-based designs are now being critiqued. For example, from Orange Bridge to downtown on the highway is designed as a highway, but we expect people to drive as if they're driving through a community with 13-foot traffic lanes and expect people to read this as a low-speed road. Can we bring this contemporary approach in? For example, making narrower lanes.

Response: Yes, we will absolutely be getting into this. I have other current projects that deal with this (such as 3.3m curb lanes and 3.1m center lanes) and what you can buy with this additional width is incredible, such as sidewalks and bike lanes. People drive to the conditions. Updating the design standards and cross-sections will be a part of this. The recent 10 years in the industry have been a reminder that cities are for people, not people coming into the City only for work.

Question: Were "levels of service" only collected for downtown? What about commercial and residential nodes in the Official Community Plan? I was hoping for data in these spots.

Response: The focus was on downtown. From an experiential perspective this is because data from a lot of other intersections in the City wouldn't tell you very much, you don't learn much from these data sets compared to the cost. The TMP is more about principles rather than specific fixes. We can look to historical data for these issues rather than responding to specific data sets.

Question: The highway is no longer a Provincial highway, we can utilize this space better. This has been in previous plans and yet nothing has happened.

Response: I've done enough planning projects that haven't gone anywhere and know the empty feeling of that. I am very enthusiastic about generating a plan that can get done.

Question: We also want a pleasant experience – I didn't see this specifically in the slides, but I want to make sure this is kept in mind to increase active transportation and an enjoyable experience (utilizing measures such as street trees, shade, decreased speed, aesthetic features) to make a pleasant experience.

Response: We love this! It's always about needs, but why can't we have nice things too? It's not just about being safe; we should be happy too. Engineering is geared towards minimum design standards, but we can do more than that here.

A faint, stylized topographic map of a coastal area, likely Vancouver, is visible in the background. It shows contour lines, a river (Campbell River), and a coastline with some green areas representing parks or forests.

Question: Are there examples of similar communities that have dealt with this community highway situation well? Such as Dogwood in Campbell River diverting highway traffic from the middle of the community.

Response: Parksville is unique in its geographical spread, historical highway, and beachfront. There are elements we can draw from, such as Campbell River's sea walk, or Ambleside in North Vancouver (though not implemented yet). However, the deviation between the highways is much less than in Campbell River, so we wouldn't need a 'Dogwood' in Parksville. We will explore these things in the future modeling phase, determining "what would we do if we diverted traffic" etc.

Comment: The map showing walking and cycling routes is not accurate – bike lanes are shown where bike lanes aren't designated, so people park in them. More than half of shown bike lanes are not actually bike lanes. This should be clarified.

Question: A lot of changes are expensive (such as fully protected bike lanes) and I encourage this process to come up with cheap and smart ways of improving things, even if it's only in the interim. Even to leave a poor condition of wide highway lanes but changing small things like adding a few bike lanes when the next line painting is scheduled, using planters etc. is valuable. Don't wait for a full buildout before doing anything! We need cheap interim options.

Response: The project on Mackenzie in Victoria is a good example of this – a phased approach of full buildout by 2050.

Question: The education component is important too – such as people not following "no right on red" signage.

Response: Some people will always behave badly. The goal should be clear, well-signed wayfinding, and consistent intersections so that no one is taken by surprise. Tourists especially get very caught up in these minor deviations from the norm.

Comment: I have concerns regarding the sidewalks in town. There are constant ups and downs of sidewalks with driveway dropdowns, and this is very hard for those with mobility issues.

Comment: Qualicum Beach put in stamped sidewalks, and it is very painful for the elderly to cross over in a wheelchair. Please consider these types of user experiences in the TMP.